

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FIFTH DISTRICT

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO  
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND  
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

CANDA ALSTON,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D16-3917

JOHN VAZQUEZ,

Appellee.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Opinion filed September 18, 2017

Appeal from the Circuit Court  
for Orange County,  
Wayne C. Wooten, Judge.

Gary S. Israel, of Gary Israel, PA, Orlando,  
for Appellant.

John Vazquez, Orlando, pro se.

PER CURIAM

Canda Alston appeals a final judgment of paternity that included a child support order and parenting plan. We affirm the trial court's thorough, thoughtful, and well-documented final judgment as to all but one of the issues Appellant raised. As part of the final judgment, the trial court ordered that Appellant would have the income tax benefits of claiming the parties' child as a dependent in even-numbered years, while Appellee, the child's father, would have those same tax benefits in odd-numbered years. "The trial court had the discretion to transfer the dependency exemption to the noncustodial

parent.” *Vick v. Vick*, 675 So. 2d 714, 719 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996). However, the trial court erred in not conditioning each party’s right to claim the child as a dependent on being current with child support payments. See *Salazar v. Salazar*, 976 So. 2d 1155, 1158 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008). We remand with instructions for the trial court to enter an amended final judgment containing that condition for the right to claim their child as a dependent for tax purposes in alternating years. In all other respects, the final judgment is affirmed.

AFFIRMED IN PART, REVERSED IN PART, AND REMANDED WITH INSTRUCTIONS.

SAWAYA, ORFINGER, and EDWARDS, JJ., concur.